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## Executive Summary

The lateral resisting system for the building, Northside Piers, is the focus of this report. Northside Piers, a 29-story condominium tower located in Brooklyn, New York, is currently under construction utilizing a concrete structure design. It consists of two-way flat plate slabs, shear walls around the central core, and a pile foundation. There are four shear walls around the stairwell and elevator with one additional shear wall coming off of the core. The East-West walls of the core have large penetrations at every level that serve as doorways.

The wind load for the building was determined by a wind tunnel test, and the seismic load was calculated using ASCE7-'05. The wind loads were the controlling case, producing a maximum base moment of 194,000 ft-kips and a base shear of 1,140 kips. Seismic loads only create a base moment of 80,500 ftkips and a base shear of 340 kips.

The lateral loads are distributed to the shear walls and columns through the floor slab which acts as a rigid diaphragm. The amount of load that goes to each element is a function of the element's stiffness. A simple calculation showed that the columns are expected to take less than $1 \%$ of the load, which led to the decision to model only the shear walls in the analysis.

An ETABS model was built in order to determine how much load goes to each element and how much the building drifts. It was found that the two North-South shear walls take almost $50 \%$ of the lateral load when the wind acts in the NorthSouth direction. When the wind acts in the East-West direction, the two walls around the central core take about $30 \%$ of the load while the protruding wall takes about $40 \%$ of the load. It was also determined that torsion would not be a controlling load case in the design of the walls.

The drift was found to meet the industry standards of L/400 for wind drift and the ASCE code recommendation of $\mathrm{L} / 160$ for seismic drift. The building is expected to have a displacement of 3.89 " in the North-South direction under full wind load.

Finally, the distributed loads were used to check the lateral system at some of its critical points. These included the shear walls at the base of the building and at the floor where the concrete changes strength. The spandrels were also checked above the penetrations in the wall. It was found that all of the members had sufficient capacity except for the elements at the base of the building. This could be due to the fact that there were a few additional openings near the base that were not included in the model, an aspect that will need to be analyzed more thoroughly.

## Introduction

This report will discuss issues relating to the lateral design of the North Side Piers building. It will begin with a description of the building and its structural system. The loads on the building will then be presented including the gravity, wind, and seismic loads. The distribution of these loads and their effects on structural members will be discussed. Critical members will be checked for strength, and finally the building's serviceability issues such as drift and story drift will be discussed.

## Architecture

Northside Piers is a building currently under construction on 164 Kent Avenue in the Brooklyn, New York area. It is a 29-story condominium tower built directly off of the East River across from Manhattan Island that tops off at a height of 317 feet. The building features a glass cladding system that allows for floor to ceiling windows for uninhibited views of New York City. Transportation throughout the building is provided by a central elevator shaft and stairwell. The 27 floors that are dedicated to the condominium units are all very similar with only minor variations. The ground and cellar floors are used for mostly lobby, storage, and utility spaces.

## Floor System

Almost the entirety of the building is designed with an 8" thick two-way flat plate slab system. Slabs consist of 6000 psi concrete with \#5 reinforcing bars at typically 12 "o/c or 6 "o/c at the top and bottom of the slab going both directions.

Beams are only used a few times in this building. They are used on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $26^{\text {th }}$ floors as transfer beams in order to accommodate changes in the column grid.


## Columns

The columns in this building do not follow a consistent grid in order to accommodate the floor plans. They are mostly rectangular columns located around the perimeter of the building with a few of them on the interior to break up the large bays. Typical sizes are $20 \times 28$ " until the $14^{\text {th }}$ floor where they are reduced to $16 \times 24$ ". Almost all of the interior columns are hidden behind walls. Columns consist of 8000 psi concrete with usually 8 rebars along their edge varying in size from \#7-\#11. The bars are held in place with ties. Typical floor to floor height is $9^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$. The column grid shifts twice to in order to deal with setbacks in the building plan.

## Foundation

The columns sit on top of a foundation of 200 ton piles that are at about ten feet below grade. Grade beams run along the perimeter of the building. The highest concentration of piles is directly underneath the central core of the building in order to transfer the high moments to the ground below. The foundation plan can be found in the appendix.

## Lateral Resisting System

Lateral forces are carried in this building by the central core, which consists of concrete shear walls surrounding the elevator shaft and stairwell on all four sides. The walls are $11 / 2$ foot thick in the North-South direction and 2 feet thick in the East-West direction, and they extend from the foundation to the top of the building. The concrete strength is 8000 psi until the $14^{\text {th }}$ level where it decreases to 6000 psi. The reinforcing is typically \#5-\#7 at 12 in . o/c. on both faces of the walls.

The walls in the East-West direction contain penetrations at every level to accommodate for doorways. The wall is still continuous due to a 2 feet deep link beam reinforced with \#9 and \#10 bars at the top and bottom.

There is one additional shear wall in the East-West direction that extends off of the building core. It starts at the foundation of the building and goes all the way to the $25^{\text {th }}$ floor.

There are some other smaller penetrations in the shear walls on various floors, which will be studied in more detail at a later time.

## Loads

## Gravity Loads

Applicable loads were taken from the New York City Code as well as from manufacturers.

| Gravity Loads Summary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Live Load* | Superimposed Dead Load |
| Equipment Rooms (Pumps, Boilers, Tanks, etc) | 150 psf | 15 psf |
| Light Storage Areas | 100 psf | 50 psf |
| Lobby/Public Spaces | 100 psf | 40 psf |
| Offices | 50 psf | 30 psf |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ Floor Elevator Lobbies | 100 psf | 40 psf |
| Multifamily Dwellings | 40 psf | 30 psf |
| EMR/Bulkhead | 100 psf | 5 psf |
| Mechanical Roof | 150 psf | 40 psf |
| Balconies (150\% of serviced area) | 60 psf | 15 psf |
| *Live Loads May Be Reduced |  |  |
|  | Dead Load |  |
| Concrete | 150 pcf |  |
| Glass Cladding | 8 psf |  |
| Roof Cooling Towers | 16 kip each |  |
| Roof AHU1 | 2.8 kip |  |
| Roof AHU2 | 1.1 kip |  |
|  | Snow Load |  |
|  | 30 psf |  |

## Lateral Loads

The lateral loads of the building are summarized below.
Wind loads for North Side Piers were determined using a wind tunnel test, performed by Alan G. Davenport Wind Engineering Group. The results are based on a 50-year return period and are given in the form of equivalent static loads. The wind pressures can be found in the appendix.

Seismic loads were calculated using ASCE7-05. New York City is in a relatively inactive seismic zone. Calculation details can be found in the appendix.

| Lateral Loads Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor | Floor Height (ft) | Wind |  |  | Seismic |
|  |  | Along X Direction (kip) | Along $Y$ Direction (kip) | Torsional Direction (ftkip) | Force (kip) |
| Building Top | 318 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 0 |
| BULKHEAD | 315 | 14 | 9 | 118 | 4 |
| EMR FLOOR | 304 | 27 | 18 | 353 | 20 |
| ROOF | 294 | 43 | 36 | 939 | 45 |
| 29 | 282 | 49 | 41 | 999 | 31 |
| 28 | 272 | 45 | 38 | 952 | 28 |
| 27 | 261 | 42 | 36 | 931 | 26 |
| 26 | 251 | 47 | 40 | 1,290 | 23 |
| 25 | 240 | 46 | 38 | 1,250 | 22 |
| 24 | 231 | 45 | 36 | 1,220 | 20 |
| 23 | 221 | 45 | 36 | 1,180 | 18 |
| 22 | 211 | 45 | 35 | 1,140 | 16 |
| 21 | 201 | 45 | 34 | 1,100 | 14 |
| 20 | 192 | 45 | 34 | 1,050 | 12 |
| 19 | 182 | 43 | 32 | 9,980 | 11 |
| 18 | 172 | 41 | 30 | 938 | 9 |
| 17 | 162 | 40 | 29 | 880 | 8 |
| 16 | 153 | 38 | 27 | 820 | 7 |
| 15 | 143 | 38 | 26 | 760 | 6 |
| 14 | 132 | 36 | 25 | 691 | 5 |
| 13 | 123 | 35 | 23 | 637 | 4 |
| 12 | 113 | 34 | 21 | 560 | 3 |
| 11 | 103 | 33 | 20 | 506 | 3 |
| 10 | 93 | 32 | 18 | 449 | 2 |
| 9 | 83 | 32 | 17 | 396 | 2 |
| 8 | 74 | 30 | 17 | 394 | 1 |
| 7 | 64 | 28 | 18 | 393 | 1 |
| 6 | 54 | 26 | 19 | 402 | 1 |
| 5 | 45 | 24 | 19 | 427 | 0 |
| 4 | 35 | 21 | 15 | 520 | 0 |
| 3 | 25 | 19 | 12 | 674 | 0 |
| 2 | 14 | 33 | 7 | 607 | 0 |
| LOBBY | 0 | 21 | 2 | 132 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Base Shear (kip) |  | 1,140 | 810 | 0 | 340 |
| Total Base Moment (kip-ft) |  | 194,000 | 149,000 | 23,700 | 80,500 |

## Load Cases

1.4D
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{~S}$
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~S}+(1.0 \mathrm{~L}$ or 0.8 W$)$
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~W}+1.0 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{~S}$
$1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{E}+1.0 \mathrm{~L}+0.2 \mathrm{~S}$
$0.9 D+1.6 W$
0.9D + 1.0E

## Load Distribution

Wind loads are developed on the building as positive and negative pressures on the windward and leeward sides of the building respectively. These pressures are distributed to the slabs at each level of the building through its cladding system. The slabs then acts as a rigid diaphragm and spreads the loads into the lateral resisting system of shear walls and columns. The amount of load distributed to each lateral element is a function of its stiffness. The load is then finally taken to the foundation of the building through its respective lateral element.

Seismic loads follow the same path as the wind loads, except that they begin by acting at the center of gravity of each element of the building. The loads are then distributed through the slab and taken to the foundation by the lateral system.

The distribution of loads to the lateral elements was first estimated by calculating the moments of inertia for the shear walls and columns. It was determined that the columns contribute to less than $0.3 \%$ of the total stiffness. This led to the decision that a model of just the concrete shear walls would be acceptable. This calculation can be found in the appendix.

The model of the building was built using ETABS, and it consisted of the shear walls of the building with a rigid diaphragm connecting them. The major openings at each floor were incorporated into the model, but some of the smaller penetrations were not. The wind and seismic loads were manually added to the model. The wind load was added at the location recommended by the wind tunnel tests, and the seismic load was placed at the center of gravity of the slab. The wind loads that were distributed to each member are listed below.

Shear Wall Plan with Labels


Shear Wall Elevation with Opening


| Wind Load Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor | E-W Wall 1 and 2 |  | E-W Wall 3 |  | N-S Wall 1 and 2 |  | E-W 1 and 2 Spandrels |  |
|  | Moment (ftk) | Shear (k) | Moment (ft-k) | Shear (k) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Moment } \\ (\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Shear <br> (k) | Moment (ft-k) | Shear (k) |
| TOP | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| EMR FLOOR | 12 | 8 |  |  | 7 | 5 |  |  |
| ROOF | 162 | 22 |  |  | 98 | 14 |  |  |
| 29 | 400 | 43 |  |  | 255 | 32 | 19 | 15 |
| 28 | 852 | 68 |  |  | 595 | 53 | 39 | 30 |
| 27 | 1562 | 90 |  |  | 1148 | 72 | 57 | 44 |
| 26 | 2507 | 111 |  |  | 1901 | 90 | 66 | 50 |
| 25 | 3823 | 125 | 0 | 19 | 2841 | 110 | 56 | 32 |
| 24 | 5177 | 139 | 183 | 37 | 3910 | 129 | 54 | 31 |
| 23 | 6663 | 152 | 542 | 55 | 5163 | 147 | 68 | 40 |
| 22 | 8279 | 166 | 1078 | 73 | 6594 | 165 | 87 | 53 |
| 21 | 10026 | 179 | 1787 | 91 | 8200 | 182 | 106 | 67 |
| 20 | 11903 | 193 | 2670 | 108 | 9975 | 199 | 125 | 80 |
| 19 | 13910 | 206 | 3727 | 126 | 11918 | 216 | 144 | 93 |
| 18 | 16043 | 219 | 4958 | 143 | 14025 | 232 | 161 | 106 |
| 17 | 18296 | 231 | 6355 | 160 | 16287 | 247 | 178 | 117 |
| 16 | 20667 | 243 | 7915 | 176 | 18697 | 262 | 192 | 128 |
| 15 | 23149 | 255 | 9629 | 191 | 21248 | 275 | 205 | 137 |
| 14 | 25741 | 266 | 11493 | 206 | 23932 | 288 | 215 | 144 |
| 13 | 28440 | 277 | 13503 | 221 | 26744 | 301 | 245 | 162 |
| 12 | 31239 | 287 | 15656 | 235 | 29678 | 312 | 258 | 170 |
| 11 | 34138 | 297 | 17943 | 248 | 32722 | 323 | 274 | 182 |
| 10 | 37133 | 307 | 20362 | 261 | 35869 | 333 | 291 | 193 |
| 9 | 40223 | 317 | 22909 | 274 | 39111 | 342 | 307 | 205 |
| 8 | 43405 | 326 | 25583 | 287 | 42441 | 350 | 324 | 217 |
| 7 | 46675 | 335 | 28381 | 299 | 45853 | 359 | 340 | 229 |
| 6 | 50025 | 344 | 31294 | 310 | 49349 | 368 | 357 | 241 |
| 5 | 54155 | 351 | 34936 | 320 | 53668 | 377 | 373 | 253 |
| 4 | 56933 | 359 | 37418 | 330 | 56590 | 386 | 389 | 265 |
| 3 | 60490 | 365 | 40634 | 338 | 60355 | 394 | 406 | 277 |
| 2 | 64566 | 371 | 44352 | 346 | 64687 | 400 | 423 | 289 |
| LOBBY | 69892 | 380 | 49193 | 359 | 70287 | 404 | 442 | 304 |
| CELLAR | 73758 | 387 | 52783 | 367 | 74324 | 404 | 367 | 252 |

It was determined that in the North-South direction, 50\% of the load goes to each of the N-S shear walls. The East-West direction is more complicated with its three walls and many penetrations. It was determined that approximately $40 \%$ of the load goes to E-W Wall 3 and $30 \%$ goes to E-W Walls 1 and 2.

The torsional load case was also analyzed, but it was not a controlling load combination. This is due the fact that the shear walls are are balanced around the center of loading for the building.

The loads applied to the spandrel were taken from the ETABS model. Analyzing this aspect is essential because it is an obvious weak link in the lateral system and these members must be checked for capacity.

## Member Checks

Now that the loads distributed to each element are known, the members must be checked to make sure they are adequate to carry the load. The areas of particular interest are locations in which the reinforcement changes and also where the concrete strength changes. Since wind acting as point loads produced higher moments and higher shear forces than the wind acting as torsional loads, this will be the primary load case that is checked Many of the walls and spandrels were checked for strength capacity at the critical points. It was found that many of the members that were checked met the load requirements, except for the elements in the Cellar. This could be due to the fact that some of the penetrations in the shear wall at the lower floors were not modeled. This will be modified in future analysis. Details of these calculations can be found in the appendix.

Overturning for the building was also checked. The wind load produces a total moment of 194,000 ft-kip in the E-W direction. The building weighs approximately $35,000 \mathrm{kips}$. This means a moment arm of 5.5 ft is required to keep the building stable. The building is about 70 feet wide, so the moment arm is in the kern of the building, and the building does not need to be designed for uplift in the foundation.

## Drift

For serviceability issues the drift must be controlled. This will make the building more comfortable for occupants and will prevent unwanted cracking. The values for drift were determined from the ETABS model of the building and are listed below.


The industry standard for drift from wind is $L / 400$, so the amount of drift allowed for a 10 ' tall story is 0.3 ". All of the stories meet this requirement.

ASCE7 gives recommendations for the allowable drift due to seismic loads. It was found that a deflection of L/160 is allowable. This means a 10' tall story can drift a total of 0.75 " due to seismic loads. All of the stories satisfy this requirement.

## Conclusions

After more detailed analysis of the Northside Pier building, it was found that the loads originally determined from Technical Assignment One still appear to be close to the building's design values. Wind loads remain the controlling lateral load for this design in both terms of strength design and serviceability design.

The ETABS model proved to be helpful in realizing how much loads go to each individual wall. Due to the openings in the wall, determining the stiffness without the assistance of the model would be difficult. It clearly showed the extent to which the holes in the shear walls caused weakness. The analysis of torsion also showed that the building performed pretty well under this condition.

The new load distributions were used to check critical members in the lateral system for capacity. It was found that the original members appear to be sufficient, except for the elements near the base of the building. This discrepancy could be due to the uncertainty of how the loads are distributed at the bottom of the building where there are some additional openings. This will be something that should be looked at in the future. Also, this may be a good area to try to rework the architecture in order to avoid the problem with penetrations in the shear walls altogether.

## Appendix

## Foundation Plan



Summary of Moments of Inertia

| Summary of Moments of Inertia |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dimensions |  | Moment of Inertia in X-Direction (ft^4) | Percent of Total | Moment of Inertia in X-Direction (ft^4) | Percent of Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E-W Wall 1 | 2'x24' | 2304 | 34.92\% | 16 | 0.24\% |
| E-W Wall 2 | 2'x24' | 2304 | 34.92\% | 16 | 0.24\% |
| E-W Wall 3 | $1.5{ }^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$ | 1953 | 29.60\% | 7.03 | 0.10\% |
| N-S Wall 1 | $1.5{ }^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ | 8.44 | 0.13\% | 3375 | 49.57\% |
| N-S Wall 2 | $1.5{ }^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ | 8.44 | 0.13\% | 3375 | 49.57\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (30) Typical Columns | 20"x20" | 0.643 (each) | 0.29\% (total) | 0.643 (each) | 0.28\% (total) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 6597 |  | 6808 |  |

## Wind Pressures

Pressures in the East-West Direction(Pressure + Suction)

| 40.0 |
| ---: |
| 38.7 |
| 36.7 |
| 40.5 |
| 40.8 |
| 42.1 |
| 41.7 |
| 41.4 |
| 41.5 |
| 41.7 |
| 40.0 |
| 38.4 |
| 37.1 |
| 35.8 |
| 33.9 |
| 32.5 |
| 32.3 |
| 31.5 |
| 30.7 |
| 28.6 |
| 29.7 |
| 29.3 |
| 25.7 |
| 24.1 |
| 22.3 |
| 19.2 |
| 17.0 |
| 23.9 |
| 26.9 |



Pressures in the East-West Direction(Pressure + Suction)

| 51.9 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 51.4 |
| 48.7 |
| 54.7 |
| 53.3 |
| 53.6 |
| 52.3 |
| 51.0 |
| 50.3 |
| 49.3 |
| 46.7 |
| 44.3 |
| 42.3 |
| 40.2 |
| 37.2 |
| 34.9 |
| 33.3 |
| 30.9 |
| 28.6 |
| 25.1 |
| 24.7 |
| 26.9 |
| 26.1 |
| 27.4 |
| 27.4 |
| 22.1 |
| 17.0 |
| 8.5 |
| 4.5 |




ASCE7-05 Seismic Load Calculations
Ss $=$
S1 $=$
0.359 g
0.07 g
Period $=0.2 \mathrm{~s}$
Period $=1.0 \mathrm{~s}$
$\mathrm{Fa}=\quad 1.211 .4-1(\mathrm{Ss}=0.359$, Site Class C) Mapped Spectral Acceleration Values $\mathrm{Fv}=\quad 1.711 .4-2(\mathrm{~S} 1=0.070$, Site Class C$)$

| $\mathrm{Sms}=\mathrm{Fa} * \mathrm{Ss}=$ | 0.431 g |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Sm} 1=\mathrm{Fv} * \mathrm{~S} 1=$ | 0.119 g |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{Sds}=2 / 3 * \mathrm{Sms}=$ | 0.287 g |
| $\mathrm{Sd} 1=2 / 3 * \mathrm{Sm} 1=$ | 0.079 g |
| Importance Factor $=$ |  |
|  |  |

SDC = B 11.6-1 (Sds = 0.287, Occupancy Cat. III)
New York City Code

R =
$C d=4.5$
$T L=$
hn =
$\mathrm{ct}=\quad 0.0212 .8-2$ (Shear walls)
$\mathrm{x}=\quad 0.7512 .8-2$ (Shear Walls)
$\mathrm{Ta}=$

## 8

6 pg 228 New York
310 ft
$1.48 \mathrm{sec} \quad \mathbf{T a}=\mathbf{c t}^{\boldsymbol{*}} \mathbf{h n}^{\wedge} \mathbf{x}$
$\mathrm{Ta}=\mathrm{ct}{ }^{*} \mathrm{hn}{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}$

```
    Cu=}\quad1.712.8-1(Sd1=0.079
    T =
                                2.51 sec
                                    F=1/T = 0.398
            T<TL
    MIN Cs < Sds/(R/I) 0.0449
    MIN Cs < Sd1/(T*R/I) 0.0049 for T<TL
    MIN Cs < Sd1*TI/(T^2*R/I) 0.0118for T>TL
Cs > 0.01
                Cs = 0.0100 sec
V = Cs * W
    V = 340k
W = DL + 0.25LL(Storage) + 10psf*partition floors + Permanent equipment + 0.2*snow load (if>30psf)
    W = 34000k
    k= 2.512.8.3
Fx = Cvx*V
Cvx = wx*hx^k/(SUMwi*hi^k)
Floor Weight
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc} 
& \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Slab (psf) Super (psf) } & Core (k) & Equip. (k) Storage (k) Glass (k) & \(\underline{\mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 2}\) & Total Weight (k) \\
BULKHEAD & 100 & 16 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 800 & 95.8 \\
EMR FLOOR & 100 & 16 & 225 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 2700 & 543.2 \\
ROOF & 150 & 30 & 225 & 50 & 0 & 0 & 6000 & 1355 \\
26 to 29 & 100 & 30 & 225 & 0 & 0 & 28 & 6000 & 1033 \\
3 to 25 & 100 & 30 & 278 & 0 & 0 & 28 & 6000 & 1086 \\
2 & 125 & 42 & 278 & 0 & 0 & 28 & 6000 & 1308 \\
1 & 150 & 42 & 390 & 0 & 6.3 & 28 & 6000 & 1576.3
\end{tabular}
```


## Member Strength Checks

## N-S1 Shear Wall (Cellar) Spot Check

```
Height (ft) 10
            Length (ft) 30
            Thickness (in) 18
            Diameter bars (in) 1.125
            Spacing 12
            fy (ksi) 60
f'c (ksi)
                8
    Factored Moment (ft-k) 118918.4
        Factored Shear (k) 646.4
        Factored Axial (k) 2500
    Moment of Inertia (ft^4) 3375
        Stress (ksi) 4.056123
        0.2*f'c (ksi) 1.6 BE Required
        2Acv*SQRT(f'c) 1159.178 2 Curtains Not Required
        Min. Reinf. 0.0025
        Reinf. Ratio 0.009204 OK
            hw/lw 0.333333
            alpha 3
            Vn (kip) 5317.237 Vn=Acv(alpha*SQRT(f'c)+rho*fy)
        Phi*Vn (kip) 4785.513 WALL OK
```


## E-W1 Shear Wall (Cellar) Spot Check

```
Height (ft)
            Length (ft) 8.5
            Thickness (in) 24
        Diameter bars (in) 0.75
        Spacing 12
        fy (ksi) 60
f'c (ksi) 8
    Factored Moment (ft-k) 59006.4
        Factored Shear (k) 619.2
        Factored Axial (k) 2500
Moment of Inertia (ft^4) 102.3542
            Stress (ksi) 18.03577
    0.2*f'c (ksi) 1.6 BE Required
        2Acv*SQRT(f'c) 437.9116 2 Curtains Required
    Min. Reinf. 0.0025
    Reinf. Ratio 0.003068 OK
        hw/lw 0.941176
        alpha 3
        Vn (kip) 1107.49 Vn=Acv(alpha*SQRT(f'c)+rho*fy)
    Phi*Vn (kip) 996.7406 WALL OK
```


## E-W3 Shear Wall (Cellar) Spot Check

| Height (ft) | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length (ft) | 25 |  |
| Thickness (in) | 18 |  |
| Diameter bars (in) | 1.25 |  |
| Spacing | 12 |  |
| fy (ksi) | 60 |  |
| $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ (ksi) | 8 |  |
| Factored Moment (ft- |  |  |
| k) | 84452.8 |  |
| Factored Shear (k) | 587.2 |  |
| Factored Axial (k) | 2500 |  |
| Moment of Inertia (ft^4) | 1953.125 |  |
| Stress (ksi) | 4.216421 |  |
| 0.2*f'c (ksi) | 1.6 | BE Required |
| 2Acv*SQRT(f'c) | 965.9814 | 2 Curtains Not Required |
| Min. Reinf. | 0.0025 |  |
| Reinf. Ratio | 0.011363 | OK |
| hw/lw | 0.4 |  |
| alpha | 3 |  |
| Vn (kip) | 5130.526 | Vn=Acv(alpha*SQRT(f'c)+rho*fy) |
| Phi*Vn (kip) | 4617.473 | WALL OK |

## N-S1 Shear Wall (15th Floor) Spot Check

| Height (ft) | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length (ft) | 30 |  |
| Thickness (in) | 18 |  |
| Diameter bars (in) | 0.625 |  |
| Spacing | 12 |  |
| fy (ksi) | 60 |  |
| $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ (ksi) | 6 |  |
| Factored Moment (ft- |  |  |
| k) | 38291.2 |  |
| Factored Shear (k) | 460.8 |  |
| Factored Axial (k) | 1100 |  |
| Moment of Inertia (ft^4) | 3375 |  |
| Stress (ksi) | 1.35158 |  |
| 0.2*f'c (ksi) | 1.2 | BE Required |
| 2Acv*SQRT(f'c) | 1003.877 | 2 Curtains Not Required |
| Min. Reinf. | 0.0025 |  |
| Reinf. Ratio | 0.002841 | OK |
| hw/lw | 0.333333 |  |
| alpha | 3 |  |
| Vn (kip) | 2610.282 | Vn=Acv(alpha*SQRT(f'c)+rho*fy) |
| Phi*Vn (kip) | 2349.254 | WALL OK |

## E-W1 Shear Wall (15th Floor) Spot Check

| Height (ft) | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length (ft) | 8.5 |  |
| Thickness (in) | 24 |  |
| Diameter bars (in) | 0.75 |  |
| Spacing | 12 |  |
| fy (ksi) | 60 |  |
| $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ (ksi) | 6 |  |
| Factored Moment (ft- |  |  |
| k) | 12592.8 |  |
| Factored Shear (k) | 425.6 |  |
| Factored Axial (k) | 1100 |  |
| Moment of Inertia (ft^4) | 102.3542 |  |
| Stress (ksi) | 4.080488 |  |
| 0.2*f'c (ksi) | 1.2 | BE Required |
| 2Acv*SQRT(f'c) | 379.2425 | 2 Curtains Required |
| Min. Reinf. | 0.0025 |  |
| Reinf. Ratio | 0.003068 | OK |
| hw/lw | 0.941176 |  |
| alpha | 3 |  |
| Vn (kip) | 1019.486 | Vn=Acv(alpha*SQRT(f'c)+rho*fy) |
| Phi*Vn (kip) | 917.5374 | WALL OK |

## E-W3 Shear Wall (15th Floor) Spot Check

| Height (ft) | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length (ft) | 25 |  |
| Thickness (in) | 18 |  |
| Diameter bars (in) | 0.875 |  |
| Spacing | 12 |  |
| fy (ksi) | 60 |  |
| f'c (ksi) | 6 |  |
| Factored Moment (ft- |  |  |
| k) | 18388.8 |  |
| Factored Shear (k) | 329.6 |  |
| Factored Axial (k) | 1100 |  |
| Moment of Inertia (ft^4) | 1953.125 |  |
| Stress (ksi) | 1.020984 |  |
| 0.2*f'c (ksi) | 1.2 | BE Not Required |
| 2Acv*SQRT(f'c) | 836.5644 | 2 Curtains Not Required |
| Min. Reinf. | 0.0025 |  |
| Reinf. Ratio | 0.005568 | OK |
| hw/lw | 0.4 |  |
| alpha | 3 |  |
| Vn (kip) | 3058.808 | Vn=Acv(alpha*SQRT(f'c)+rho*fy) |
| Phi*Vn (kip) | 2752.927 | WALL OK |

## Spandrel Beam (Cellar Floor) Spot Check

| Factored Shear (kip) | 403.2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factored Moment (ft-kip) | 587.2 |  |  |
| $h$ (in) | 24 |  |  |
| In (in) | 76 |  |  |
| d (in) | 22 |  |  |
| b (in) | 24 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{ksi})$ | 8 |  |  |
| fy (ksi) | 60 |  |  |
| Area Reinforcement (in^2) | 8.89 | 7\#10's |  |
| rho | 0.016837 |  |  |
| Area Shear Reinf. | 1.228 |  |  |
| Shear Reinf. Spacing (in) | 5 |  |  |
| In/h | 3.166667 | May use diagonal or conventional reinforcement |  |
| rho max | 0.0316 |  |  |
| rho min | 0.0033 |  |  |
| phi | 0.9 |  | 0.0276 |
| R | 935.2162 |  |  |
| Mn (ft-kip) | 905.2893 |  |  |
| phiMn (ft-kip) | 814.7603 | Beam OK in Flexure |  |
| Minimum Shear Reinforcing (in^2) | 0.134164 |  |  |
| Minimum Shear Reinforcing (in^2) | 0.1 |  |  |
| PhiAv*fy*d/s | 243.144 |  |  |
| Vc (kip) | 94.45151 |  |  |
| Phi Vc (kip) | 70.83863 |  |  |
| Shear Capactiy (kip) | 313.9826 | Beam not OK in Shear |  |

## Spandrel Beam (25th Floor) Spot Check

| Factored Shear (kip) | 51.2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factored Moment (ft-kip) | 89.6 |  |
| h (in) | 24 |  |
| In (in) | 76 |  |
| d (in) | 22 |  |
| b (in) | 24 |  |
| f'c (ksi) | 6 |  |
| fy (ksi) | 60 |  |
| Area Reinforcement (in^2) | 5 | 5\#9 |
| rho | 0.00947 |  |
| Area Shear Reinf. | 0.8 | \#4@10" |
| Shear Reinf. Spacing (in) | 10 |  |
| In/h | 3.166667 | May use diagonal or conventional reinforcement |
| rho max | 0.0273 |  |
| rho min | 0.0033 |  |
| phi | 0.9 | 0.0239 |
| R | 536.5444 |  |
| Mn (ft-kip) | 519.375 |  |
| phiMn (ft-kip) | 467.4375 | Beam OK in Flexure |
| Minimum Shear Reinforcing (in^2) | 0.232379 |  |
| Minimum Shear Reinforcing (in^2) | 0.2 |  |
| PhiAv*fy*d/s | 79.2 |  |
| Vc (kip) | 81.79741 |  |
| Phi Vc (kip) | 61.34806 |  |
| Shear Capactiy (kip) | 140.5481 | Beam OK in Shear |

## ASCE7 - 05 Allowable Seismic Drift Calculation

```
I = 1.25
Cd=4 (Table 12.2-1; Ordinary Concrete Shear Walls)
Dx = Cd * dx / I = 4*dx/1.25 = 3.2dx
Delta Max = 0.020hsx (Table 12.12-1)
Dx < Delta Max
3.2dx < 0.020hsx
dx < hsx/160
```

